RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 3 1 2007

LAW OFFICE OF HENRY T. BRENDZEL

		Date:	January 31, 2007
To:	Examiner: Steven Nguyen	From:	Henry T. Brendzel, Esq
Fax:	571-273-8300	Fax: (973) 467-6589	
Phone:	571-272-3159	Phone: (973) 467-2025	
Re:	Serial No: 09/770,890	Pages: Cover + 4	

HENRY BRENDZEL

9734676589

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) HENRY BRENDZEL

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER PAGE 02

		Henry Brendzel			
	Complete if Known				
	Application Number	09/770,890			
	Filing Date	1/26/2001			
*	First Named Inventor	Diakoumis Parissis			
	Examiner Name	Steven Nguyen			
	Group/Art Unit	2616			
3	Attorney Docket ID	Gerakoulis 113351			

		Group/Art Unit	2616				
Total number of pages in this Submission:	: this page, plus 3	Attorney Docket ID	Gerakoulis 113351				
10(d) harmon or project							
If Fee Form is not included, but a fee is due, the Commissioner is Authorized to charge Deposit Account of Henry T. Brendzel No 500732 of, and consider that appropriate requests that give rise to the fees (such as for an extension of time) have been made.							
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Form (Check included)	Declaration (no Mis	ssing Parts Notice)] Postcard(s)				
Amendment/Response	Assignment Papers (for an Application)		Small Entity Statement				
Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)	Drawing(s)		Request for a Refund				
Extension of Time Request	Licensing-related Po	epers	After Allowance Communication to group				
Information Disclosure Statement	Petition	[Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				
Certified Copy of Priority document(s)	To Convert a Provis	sional Application	Appeal Communications to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Power of Attorney, I Change of Corresp	Revocation or pondence Address	Proprietary Information				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.2 or 1.53	Express Abandonm	nent [Status Letter				
	Terminal Disclaime	" C	Other				
	To Convert to Statu Registration	ntory Invention					
SIGNA	ATURE OF APPLICANT	, ATTORNEY, OR AGE	NT				
Firm or Individual Name Henry T	r. Brendzel						
Signature Hem Brush Date 1/3,/07							
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION 1* class mail: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, First Class Mall service, in an envelop addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA22313-1450 on the date shown herein.							
Fax: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted by facsimile to the United States Patent Office on the date shown herein.							
Henry Brendzel //31/07							
Name of Person Signing Signature Date							

Gerakoulis 113351

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 3 1 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s)

Diakoumis Parissis

Serial No.

09/770,890

Gerakoulis

Filing Date 1/26/2001

Examiner

Steven Nguyen

Case Name

Gerakoulis 113351

Art Unit

2616

Title

Method for Packet Switching Interface for Code Division Switching in a

Terrestrial Wireless System

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

AMENDMENT REMARKS

The Examiner asserts that the reply filed on November 1, 2006 is not fully responsive because, according to the Examiner, applicant presented claims to a different invention, which is "similar" to the invention that was not elected in response to a restriction requirement dated 12/28, 2004.

Applicant respectfully traverses.

37 CFR 1.145 specifies that

If, after an office action on an application, the applicant presents claims directed to an invention distinct from and independent of the invention previously claimed, the applicant will be required to restrict the claims to the invention previously claimed if the amendment is entered, subject to reconsideration and review as provided in §§ 1.143 and 1.144.

First, the focus of 37 CFR 1.145 is clearly on the "invention previously claimed" compared to the amended claims. It has nothing to do with any previous restriction requirements. Second "the invention claimed" to which the applicant may restricted cannot possibly mean that the claims may not be amended, so it must mean that the claims may not be amended so as to define an invention that is <u>distinct from and independent of</u> the unamended claim.